

## Interview Summary

**Application No.**

10/758,970

**Applicant(s)**

TYO ET AL.

**Examiner**

ILEANA POPA

**Art Unit**

1633

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) ILEANA POPA.(3) Greg Hamilton.(2) Jack Brennan.

(4) \_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 06 March 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal (copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: all rejected claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Shah (U.S. Patent No. 6,020,004), Chen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,537,813) and Tice et al. (U.S. Patent 4,389,330).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant presented arguments as to why the combination of Shah, Chen, and Tice does not render the claimed invention obvious. Applicant was informed that his arguments will be given fair consideration once formally submitted.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Ileana Popa/  
Examiner, Art Unit 1633